arXiv:1504.01856v2 [math.RT] 29 Aug 2015

Broué's isotypy conjecture for the sporadic groups and their covers and automorphism groups

Benjamin Sambale*

September 1, 2015

Abstract

Let B be a p-block of a finite group G with abelian defect group D such that $S \trianglelefteq G$, $S' = S$, $G/\mathrm{Z}(S) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ and $S/\mathrm{Z}(S)$ is a sporadic simple group. We show that B is isotypic to its Brauer correspondent in $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$ in the sense of Broué. This has been done by [Rouquier, 1994] for principal blocks and it remains to deal with the non-principal blocks.

Keywords: Broué's Abelian Defect Group Conjecture, isotypies, sporadic groups
AMS classification: 20D08, 20C15

1 Introduction

Let B be a p-block of a finite group G with abelian defect group D. Broué's Abelian Defect Group Conjecture asserts that B is derived equivalent to its Brauer correspondent in $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$. This has been verified in only very few special situations. For example, the conjecture holds if D is cyclic or $|D| \leq 8$ (see [29, 37, 40, 30, 18, 10]). In case $p = 2$ or $|D| = 9$, the conjecture is known to hold for principal blocks (see [12, 9, 20]). Moreover, Broué's Conjecture holds for p-solvable groups G and some blocks of sporadic groups G (see [14, 21, 26, 25, 24, 31, 23, 22, 15]).

The existence of a derived equivalence implies that there is a perfect isometry between B and its Brauer correspondent. Since perfect isometries only concern the characters of B, it is usually much easier to show directly that there exists such a perfect isometry. In fact, one can often find an isotypy, i. e. a perfect isometry with additional local compatibility relations. This indicates the presence of a splendid derived equivalence which is a strong form of a derived equivalence. Rouquier [39] showed that the isotypy version of Broué's Conjecture holds for all principal blocks of sporadic groups and their automorphism groups. The aim of this paper is to extend this result to all blocks of sporadic groups.

Theorem 1. *Let B be a block of a finite group G with abelian defect group D such that $S \trianglelefteq G$, $S' = S$, $G/\mathrm{Z}(S) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ and $S/\mathrm{Z}(S)$ is a sporadic simple group. Then B is isotypic to its Brauer correspondent in $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$.*

Raphaël Rouquier informed the author that this theorem has not been proven so far.

We will make use of the following notation. We consider a p-block B of a finite group G with respect to a p-modular system $(K, \mathcal{O}, F)$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with quotient field K of characteristic 0 and field of fractions F of characteristic p. As usual, we assume that K is a splitting field for G and F is algebraically closed. The set of irreducible (Brauer) characters of B is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ (resp. $\mathrm{IBr}(B)$). Moreover, $k(B) := |\mathrm{Irr}(B)|$ and $l(B) := |\mathrm{IBr}(B)|$. Let $D \leq G$ be a defect group of B, and let b_D be a

*Institut für Mathematik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, 07743 Jena, Germany, benjamin.sambale@uni-jena.de

Brauer correspondent of B in $\mathrm{C}_G(D)$. Then $\mathrm{N}_G(D, b_D)$ is the inertial group of b_D in $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$ and $I(B) := \mathrm{N}_G(D, b_D)/D\,\mathrm{C}_G(D)$ is called the *inertial quotient*. A (B-)*subsection* is a pair (u, b_u) such that $u \in D$ and b_u is a Brauer correspondent of B in $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$. The corresponding generalized decomposition matrix is denoted by $Q_u \in \mathbb{C}^{k(B)\times l(b_u)}$. In particular, the ordinary decomposition matrix of B is given by Q_1. The Cartan matrix C_u of b_u coincides with $Q_u^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{Q_u}$ where Q_u^{T} denotes the transpose of Q_u and $\overline{Q_u}$ is the complex conjugate of Q_u. A *basic set* for b_u is a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of generalized characters on the p-regular elements of $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$ spanned by $\mathrm{IBr}(b_u)$. Under a change of basic sets the matrix Q_u transforms into Q_uS for some $S \in \mathrm{GL}(l(b_u), \mathbb{Z})$. Accordingly, the Cartan matrix becomes $S^{\mathrm{T}}C_uS$. In particular, the elementary divisors of C_u do not depend on the chosen basic set. Brauer also introduced the *contribution matrix*

$$M_u := (m^u_{\chi\psi})_{\chi,\psi\in\mathrm{Irr}(B)} = \overline{Q_u}C_u^{-1}Q_u^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{k(B)\times k(B)}$$

with respect to (u, b_u). It is easy to see that M_u does not depend on the basic set, but on the order of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$.

We denote the commutator subgroup of G by G'. Moreover, an abstract cyclic group of order n is denoted by Z_n.

2 The general method

Let G, B and D as in Theorem 1. Let b be the Brauer correspondent of B in $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$. The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is to show first that the matrix Q_1 is essentially the same for B and b. After that, we show that the matrices Q_u are essentially uniquely determined by Q_1 (see below for details). Then the existence of a perfect isometry follows from a result by Horimoto-Watanabe [16]. In order to show that the perfect isometry is also an isotypy, we use the Brauer-Dade theory of blocks with defect group of order p. Altogether we will not use much information about G beyond its character table coming from the ATLAS [8]. Instead, we are concerned mostly with combinatorial computations with GAP [13].

2.1 Step 1: Collect local data

As mentioned in the introduction, we may always assume that D is non-cyclic. Now suppose that B is a so-called non-faithful block, i. e.

$$\mathrm{Ker}(B) := \bigcap_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(B)} \mathrm{Ker}(\chi) \neq 1$$

(then necessarily $\mathrm{Z}(G) \neq 1$). Since $\mathrm{Ker}(B)$ is a p'-group, B dominates a unique block $\overline{B}$ of $G/\mathrm{Ker}(B)$ with defect group $D\,\mathrm{Ker}(B)/\mathrm{Ker}(B) \cong D$ and the "same" irreducible characters (see [32, Theorem 5.8.8]). Let $\overline{b}$ be a Brauer correspondent of $\overline{B}$ in $\mathrm{N}_{G/\mathrm{Ker}(B)}(D\,\mathrm{Ker}(B)/\mathrm{Ker}(B)) = \mathrm{N}_G(D)/\mathrm{Ker}(B)$. Then it is easy to show that b dominates $\overline{b}$. Hence, also b and $\overline{b}$ have the "same" irreducible characters and the same defect group. Moreover, it is clear that $I(B) \cong I(\overline{B})$. Since our method only relies on the irreducible characters and on the inertial quotient, it will become obvious that we only need to construct an isotypy between $\overline{B}$ and $\overline{b}$. Therefore, we may restrict ourselves to the faithful blocks of G.

Now we need to discuss the case $G' < G$. Here the ATLAS [8] shows that $|G/G'| = 2$. It is well-known that B covers a block $\widetilde{B}$ of G' with defect group $D\cap G'$. Moreover, it follows from [32, Lemma 5.5.7] that $\widetilde{B}$ is faithful. If $\widetilde{B}$ is not stable in G, then B and $\widetilde{B}$ are Morita equivalent by the Fong-Reynolds Theorem (even the generalized decomposition numbers are equal by [46]). By the transitivity of the Brauer correspondence, the same is true for b. If on the other hand all irreducible characters in $\widetilde{B}$ are stable, then B and $\widetilde{B}$ are even isomorphic as algebras (see [3, Théorème 0.1]). We will make use of these facts later. In case $p > 2$ we have $D \cap G' = D$ and $\widetilde{B}$ occurs on Noeske's list [33]. We will add these blocks B to the list (this will be only relevant for $p = 5$, see Table 1 below). Now let $p = 2$. If $D \cap G'$ is cyclic, then $\widetilde{B}$ is nilpotent. By the Külshammer-Puig Theorem [28], also B is nilpotent and we are done (see [6]). Hence, we may assume that $D \cap G'$ is non-cyclic. Then, $\widetilde{B}$ occurs on Noeske's list or $\widetilde{B}$ is principal. The latter case is impossible, since J_1 is the only sporadic group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup, but $\mathrm{Out}(J_1) = 1$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Out}(Co_3) = 1$ and it follows that $|D| \leq 8$. Hence, we are done by [18].

Now with the extension of Noeske's list in hand, we can collect local data. By [39], we may assume that B is not the principal block (observe that the principal block of a proper covering group is always non-faithful). It follows that we may assume that $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. By [18], we may assume further that $p \in \{3, 5, 7\}$. Then it turns out that $|D| = p^2$ (we are lucky here that the principal 3-block of $O'N$ is covered only by the principal block of $O'N.2$). The numbers $k(B)$ and $l(B)$ for the groups not listed in [33] can be determined easily in GAP. By an old result by Brauer, all characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ have height 0 (see [11, Theorem IV.4.18]). In a series of papers, An and his coauthors proved that Alperin's Weight Conjecture holds for B (see [2] for the latest of these papers). Strictly speaking, these papers do not explicitly address the proper automorphism groups, but since we only encounter 5-blocks with maximal defect for these groups (see below), Alperin's Conjecture can be checked with GAP easily. Since D is abelian, this is equivalent to $l(B) = l(b)$. Since $k(B) - l(B)$ is locally determined (see below), we also have $k(B) = k(b)$.

2.2 Step 2: Compute the decomposition and Cartan matrices of B up to basic sets

The character table of G is given in the ATLAS [8]. Let A be the submatrix of the character table of G consisting of the rows corresponding to characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ and the columns of p-singular elements. Since the character values lie in a suitable cyclotomic field, the corresponding Galois group acts on the columns of A. Since the entries of A are algebraic integers, we can replace A by an integral matrix $\widetilde{A}$ of the same shape such that $xA = 0$ if and only if $x\widetilde{A} = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^{k(B)}$. After transforming $\widetilde{A}$ into its Smith normal form, we easily choose a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)} : x\widetilde{A} = 0\}$. We write the basis vectors as columns of a matrix $\widetilde{Q}_1$. Then $\widetilde{Q}_1$ spans the space of generalized projective characters of B. In particular, there exists a matrix $S \in \mathrm{GL}(l(B), \mathbb{Z})$ such that $Q_1 = \widetilde{Q}_1 S$, i. e. Q_1 and $\widetilde{Q}_1$ coincide up to basic sets. In this way we also obtain C_1 up to basic sets and its elementary divisors.

2.3 Step 3: Determine $I(B)$

A major problem is the determination of $I(B)$ and its action on D. We may regard $I(B)$ as a p'-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, p)$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a set of representatives for the $I(B)$-conjugacy classes of $D \setminus \{1\}$. Then it is well-known that

$$k(B) - l(B) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} l(b_u).$$

Since we already know $k(B) - l(B)$, this gives an upper bound for $|\mathcal{R}|$. We have $I(b_u) \cong \mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)$. Moreover, b_u dominates a block $\overline{b_u}$ of $\mathrm{C}_G(u)/\langle u \rangle$ with cyclic defect group $D/\langle u \rangle$ of order p and $I(\overline{b_u}) \cong I(b_u)$. By Dade's theory of blocks with cyclic defect groups (this special case was actually known to Brauer), we obtain $l(b_u) = l(\overline{b_u}) = |I(\overline{b_u})| = |I(b_u)| = |\mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)|$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(B) - l(B) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} |\mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)|, \\ p^2 - 1 &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} |I(B) : \mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let n_p be the multiplicity of p as an elementary divisor of the Cartan matrix C_1. Then the theory of lower defect groups (see [41, Section 1.8]) shows that

$$n_p \leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} |\mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)| - |\mathcal{R}| = k(B) - l(B) - |\mathcal{R}|.$$

These equations give strong restrictions on the possible p'-subgroups $I(B) \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$. Moreover, An and Dietrich [1] determined all radical subgroups R of sporadic groups and their normalizers. Since D is radical, $I(B)$ occurs as a subgroup of some $\mathrm{N}_G(R)/\mathrm{C}_G(R)$. In case $p = 3$ we can also compare $k(B)$ and $l(B)$ with the results in Kiyota [19] and Watanabe [49]. Finally, some (but not all) inertial quotients are listed in [44].

2.4 Step 4: Determine the Morita equivalence class of b

By a result of Külshammer [27], b is Morita equivalent to a twisted group algebra of the form $\mathcal{O}_\gamma[D \rtimes I(B)]$. After we have computed the Schur multiplier of $I(B)$, it turns out that there are only very few choices for the 2-cocycle γ. If γ is trivial, then b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$. In the non-trivial case, one can replace the inconvenient twisted group algebra by a group algebra of type $D \rtimes \widehat{I(B)}$ where $\widehat{I(B)}$ is a suitable covering group of $I(B)$ (see e. g. [41, Proposition 1.20]). Then b is Morita equivalent to a non-principal block of this group. Doing so, we can check if $k(b)$ and $l(b)$ coincide with the values already determined in Step 1. As a rule of thumb, in the twisted case, $l(b)$ is usually smaller (however, this is not generally true). In this way we identify γ and the Morita equivalence class of b uniquely. In our examples discussed below, we will see that γ is always trivial. However, we remark that a Morita equivalence does not necessarily induce an isotypy. Nevertheless, the ordinary decomposition matrix and the Cartan matrix of b can be obtained easily (see [4]). From this we will find that the rows of the ordinary decomposition matrix of b are almost always pairwise distinct (the exception is $2.Suz.2$ for which we need a special treatment). It follows that the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(b)$ are all p-rational.

2.5 Step 5: Determine the generalized decomposition matrix of B

Using the character table of G, one can decide if $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ contains p-conjugate characters. In our cases handled below, it turns out that almost always all irreducible characters of B are p-rational (again the exception is $2.Suz.2$). It follows that the matrices Q_u are integral for every $u \in \mathcal{R}$. The direct computation of Q_u is usually not practical, since the class fusion from $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$ to G is not available. Thus, we need to find a work around.

By the Brauer-Dade theory already used in Step 3, we have

$$C_u = p\left(\frac{p-1}{e_u} + \delta_{ij}\right)_{i,j=1}^{e_u} \tag{2.1}$$

up to basic sets where $e_u := |\mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)|$. Since we already know Q_1, we can consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{M} := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)} : Q_1^{\mathrm{T}} x = 0\}$. By the orthogonality relations, the columns of Q_u lie in $\mathcal{M}$. We will often show that $I(B)$ has only one orbit on $D \setminus \{1\}$, i. e. there is only one matrix Q_u with $u \in \mathcal{R}$. In this case, let $\widetilde{Q}_u$ be a matrix whose columns form a basis of $\mathcal{M}$. It will turn out that $\det(\widetilde{Q}_u^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{Q}_u) = \det C_u$. Then Q_u is given by $\widetilde{Q}_u$ up to basic sets.

Hence, it remains to consider the case $|\mathcal{R}| \geq 2$. This part forms the core of the algorithm. We may assume that C_u is given as above. An algorithm by Plesken [34] aims to find all integral solutions $X \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B) \times l(b_u)}$ of the equation $X^{\mathrm{T}} X = C_u$. Of course, Q_u is one of these solutions. The algorithm starts by computing the possible rows r of X. These are (finitely many) integral vectors $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{Z}^{l(b_u)}$ such that $m_r := r^{\mathrm{T}} C_u^{-1} r \leq 1$. In our case, m_r must be a diagonal entry of the contribution matrix M_u. Since all irreducible characters of B have height 0, a result by Brauer implies that $p^2 m_r$ is an integer not divisible by p (see [41, Proposition 1.37]). Most likely, there are still too many possible rows in order to find all solutions X. We will use more theory in order to reduce the number of rows r further.

Let λ be an $I(B)$-stable generalized character of D, and let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Then by Broué-Puig [5], $\chi * \lambda$ is a generalized character of B. In particular, the scalar product $(\chi * \lambda, \psi)_G$ for $\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ is an integer. This means that $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}} \lambda(u) m^u_{\chi\psi} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Running through all $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ we get

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}} \lambda(u) M_u \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B) \times k(B)}.$$

Hence, the matrices Q_u are not independent of each other. Choosing the trivial character $\lambda = 1_D$ we obtain the slightly stronger relation $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}} M_u = 1_{k(B)}$ which was already known to Brauer (see [11, Lemma V.9.3]). Since we know M_1, this gives a better upper bound for m_r. On the other hand, if λ is the regular character of D, the sum becomes $p^2 M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is, however, clear by the definition of M_1. In order to construct the $I(B)$-stable generalized characters systematically, we introduce a lemma which works in a more general situation.

Lemma 2. *Let B be a block of a finite group with defect group D and fusion system $\mathcal{F}$. Then the number of $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy classes of D coincides with the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $\mathcal{F}$-stable generalized characters of D.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of D, and let $\mathrm{Irr}(D) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s\}$. Every $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy class of D is a union of D-conjugacy classes. This gives a partition $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathcal{C}_i$. In case $t = s$ every generalized character of D is $\mathcal{F}$-stable and the result follows. Hence, we may assume $t < s$ in the following. Choose $u_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Let A be the matrix consisting of the rows of the form

$$(\lambda_j(u_i) - \lambda_j(v) : j = 1, \ldots, s)$$

where $v \in \mathcal{C}_i \setminus \{u_i\}$. Then $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(s-t)\times s}$. It is well-known that the values of the irreducible characters of D are cyclotomic integers. Moreover, the Galois group of the corresponding cyclotomic field acts on the columns of A. Thus, after choosing a basis for the ring of cyclotomic integers, we may replace A by $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(s-t)\times t}$ such that $Ax = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{A}x = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. By transforming $\widetilde{A}$ into its Smith normal form, we find a set of t linearly independent vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that $Ax_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. For $x_i = (y_1, \ldots, y_s)$ the generalized character $\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j \lambda_j$ is $\mathcal{F}$-stable. Hence, the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $\mathcal{F}$-stable generalized characters of D is at least t. On the other hand, the dimension of the space of all $\mathcal{F}$-stable class function on D is obviously t. This proves the claim. □

Coming back to our situation where $I(B)$ has at least two orbits on $D \setminus \{1\}$, it follows from Lemma 2 that there is at least one more "interesting" $I(B)$-stable generalized character on D (apart from the trivial character and the regular character). This greatly reduces the number of possible rows in Plesken's algorithm.

If Plesken's algorithm yields two different solutions X_1 and X_2, it may happen that these solutions would coincide up to basic sets (viewed as Q_u). It is not obvious to decide when this happens, because the order and signs of the rows of X_i may vary. However, one can proceed as follows. First we make sure that X_i has an integral left inverse. This is often guaranteed, since X_i will contain an identity matrix of size $l(b_u) \times l(b_u)$. Next, we construct $Y_i := p^2 X_i (X_i^{\mathrm{T}} X_i)^{-1} X_i^{\mathrm{T}}$ and check if there is a signed permutation matrix P such that $PY_1P^{\mathrm{T}} = Y_2$. If this is not the case, then clearly, X_1 and X_2 are essentially different (i. e. not equal up to basic sets). Now assume that P exists. By construction, X_2 lies in the eigenspace of $p^2 Y_2$ with respect to the eigenvalue p^2. If E_2 is a basis of the corresponding integral eigenspace, then there exists a square matrix S_2 such that $X_2 = E_2 S_2$. Since X_2 has an integral left inverse, we see that also X_2 is a basis for the integral eigenspace. Similarly, PX_1 is a basis for the integral eigenspace of Y_2. Hence, there is a matrix $S \in \mathrm{GL}(l(b_u), \mathbb{Z})$ such that $PX_1S = X_2$, i. e. X_1 and X_2 coincide up to basic sets. The search for the matrix P can be implemented as a backtracking algorithm going through the rows of Y_i.

2.6 Step 6: Construct perfect isometries

For the existence of a perfect isometry between B and b we use [16, Theorem 2]. By Step 4, we already know the ordinary decomposition matrix of b up to basic sets. Then, by applying the methods from the last paragraph of Step 5, we will show that the ordinary decomposition matrices of B and b coincide up to basic sets and up to permutations of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$. This is actually necessary for the existence of a perfect isometry. Also note that the existence of an integral left inverse is generally true for ordinary decomposition matrices (see [32, proof of Theorem 3.6.14]).

Since also the irreducible characters of b are p-rational, the generalized decomposition matrices of b can be obtained completely analogously to Q_u. In order to apply [16, Theorem 2], it suffices to prove that the matrices Q_u are unique in the following sense: Whenever we have two candidates Q_u and Q'_u for each $u \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a signed permutation matrix $P \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)\times k(B)}$ not depending on u and matrices $S_u \in \mathrm{GL}(l(b_u), \mathbb{Z})$ such that $PQ'_uS_u = Q_u$ for every $u \in \mathcal{R}$ (note that we do not need to consider $u = 1$). We will manage this step in the following manner: We fix the order of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ such that M_1 is uniquely determined by Q_1. Then we use the solutions from Plesken's algorithm in order to show that the matrices M_u are uniquely determined by M_1. Finally, the eigenspace argument described above allows us to reconstruct Q_u from M_u. In case $p = 3$ we are able to prove this kind of uniqueness for Q_u without using Q_1 or M_1.

2.7 Step 7: Extend perfect isometries to isotypies

By Step 6, there exists a perfect isometry $I : \mathrm{CF}(G,B) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{N}_G(D),b)$ where $\mathrm{CF}(G,B)$ denotes the space of class functions with basis $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ over K. It remains to show that I is also an isotypy. In order to do so, we follow [7, Section V.2]. For each $u \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}$ let $\mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u)_{2'}, b_u)$ be the space of class functions on $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$ which vanish on the p-singular classes and are spanned by $\mathrm{IBr}(b_u)$. The decomposition map $d^u_G : \mathrm{CF}(G,B) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u)_{p'}, b_u)$ is defined by

$$d^u_G(\chi)(s) := \chi(i_{b_u} us) = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(b_u)} d^u_{\chi\varphi}\varphi(s)$$

for $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ and $s \in \mathrm{C}_G(u)_{p'}$ where i_{b_u} is the block idempotent of b_u over $\mathcal{O}$. Let β_u be a Brauer correspondent of b in $\mathrm{C}_G(u) \cap \mathrm{N}_G(D)$. Then I determines isometries

$$I^u : \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u)_{p'}, b_u) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}_G(D)}(u)_{p'}, \beta_u)$$

by the equation $d^u_{\mathrm{N}_G(D)} \circ I = I^u \circ d^u_G$. Note that I^1 is the restriction of I. We need to show that I^u can be extended to a perfect isometry $\widehat{I^u} : \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u), b_u) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}_G(D)}(u), \beta_u)$ for each $u \in \mathcal{R}$ which does not depend on the generator u of $\langle u \rangle$. By the construction of I, we can choose basic sets $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_l$ (resp. $\widetilde{\varphi}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\varphi}_l$) of b_u (resp. β_u) such that $I^u(\varphi_i) = \widetilde{\varphi}_i$. The Cartan matrix of b_u and β_u with respect to these basic sets is given as in (2.1).

The block b_u has defect group D, and the focal subgroup $[D, I(b_u)]$ has order at most p. These blocks are well understood by results of Watanabe [47, 48]. In particular, by [48, Corollary] there exists a perfect isometry between b_u and β_u, but we must take care of the extension property. As before let $e_b := |I(b_u)| = |\mathrm{C}_{I(B)}(u)|$. Then $k(b_u) = p(\frac{p-1}{e_u} + e_u)$ and $l(b_u) = e_u$ (cf. [43, Lemma 9]). We compute the ordinary decomposition matrix of b_u. If $e_u = 1$, then b_u is nilpotent. In this case there exists a perfect isometry $\widehat{I^u} : \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u), b_u) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}_G(D)}(u), \beta_u)$ sending a p-rational character $\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b_u)$ to a p-rational character $\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\beta_u)$. Since ψ is an extension of $\pm\varphi_1$, it is clear that $\widehat{I^u}$ extends I^u. If we take another generator v of $\langle u \rangle$, then the ordinary decomposition numbers of ψ are of course the same. Therefore, $\widehat{I^u}$ only depends on $\langle u \rangle$.

It remains to deal with the case where b_u is non-nilpotent. Then p is odd, $|[D, I(b_u)]| = p$ and $D = [D, I(b_u)] \times \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))$. Since $[D, I(b_u)]$ acts freely on $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ via the $*$-construction (see [38]), the rows of the ordinary decomposition matrix Γ_1 of b_u come in groups of p equal rows each. Hence, in order to determine Γ_1 with respect to the chosen basic set $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_l$, it suffices to solve the matrix equation $X^{\mathrm{T}}X = \frac{1}{p}C_u$ for $X \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{p}k(b_u) \times l(b_u)}$ (i. e. we actually compute the decomposition matrix of a block with defect group of order p). A detailed analysis of the solutions X has been carried out in [42, proof of Proposition 6]. As a result, one has

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

after choosing the order and signs of $\mathrm{Irr}(b_u)$. Then

$$\Gamma_1 = (\underbrace{X^{\mathrm{T}}, \ldots, X^{\mathrm{T}}}_{p \text{ times}})^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

(For an alternative approach, one could also use [48, Corollary] and compute Γ_1 in the local situation $D \rtimes I(b_u)$.) In particular, we may choose p-rational (generalized) characters $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_l \in \pm\mathrm{Irr}(b_u)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\psi}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\psi}_l \in \pm\mathrm{Irr}(\beta_u)$) such that ψ_i extends φ_i for $i = 1, \ldots, l$. It remains to show that there is a perfect isometry sending ψ_i to $\widetilde{\psi}_i$. Let $v \in \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))$. Then $d^v_{\psi_i\varphi_j} = \zeta d^1_{\psi_i\varphi_j} = \zeta\delta_{ij}$ for a p-th root of unity ζ. Since ψ_i is p-rational and $p > 2$, we must have $d^v_{\psi_i\varphi_j} = d^1_{\psi_i\varphi_j} = \delta_{ij}$. Now let $v \in D \setminus \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))$. Then the Brauer correspondent of b_u in $\mathrm{C}_G(u) \cap \mathrm{C}_G(v)$ is nilpotent. Thus, $d^v_{\psi_i\lambda_v} = \pm 1$. Let us choose signs for λ_v such that $d^v_{\psi_1\lambda_v} = 1$ for

all $v \in D \setminus \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))$. We will show now that $d^v_{\psi_i\lambda_v} = 1$ for all $v \in D \setminus \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))$ and $i = 2, \ldots, l$. For this let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of representatives for the $I(b_u)$-conjugacy classes of $[D, I(b_u)]$. Then $|\mathcal{S}| = 1 + \frac{p-1}{e_u}$. Moreover, $p^2 C_u^{-1} = (-\frac{p-1}{e_u} + p\delta_{ij})$ and $m^1_{\psi_1\psi_i} = -\frac{p-1}{p^2 e_u}$. Consequently,

$$0 = (\psi_1, \psi_i)_{\mathrm{C}_G(u)} = \sum_{v \in \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}} m^{vw}_{\psi_1\psi_i} = -\frac{p-1}{pe_u} + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{v \in \mathrm{C}_D(I(b_u))} \sum_{1 \neq w \in \mathcal{S}} d^{vw}_{\psi_i\lambda_{vw}}$$

and $d^{vw}_{\psi_i\lambda_{vw}} = 1$. Therefore, for any permutation $\pi \in S_l$ there exists a perfect isometry $\mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u), b_u) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u), b_u)$ sending ψ_i to $\psi_{\pi(i)}$. Hence, by [48, Corollary] there exists a perfect isometry $\widehat{I^u} : \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_G(u), b_u) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}_G(D)}(u), \beta_u)$ sending ψ_i to $\widetilde{\psi}_i$. In particular $\widehat{I^u}$ extends I^u. Since $\widehat{I^u}$ is defined by means of p-rational characters, it does not depend on the choice of the generator of $\langle u \rangle$.

Summarizing, we have shown that in our situation the perfect isometry coming from Step 6 can always be extended to an isotypy. Thus, for the proof of Theorem 1 it suffices to complete the Steps 1 to 6.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

3.1 The case $p = 3$

For $p = 3$ we can use the methods described in the previous section to obtain a stronger result which handles all cases immediately.

Theorem 3. *Let B and $\widetilde{B}$ be blocks of (possibly different) finite groups with a common elementary abelian defect group of order 9 and isomorphic inertial quotients. If $k(B) = k(\widetilde{B})$ and $l(B) = l(\widetilde{B})$, then B and $\widetilde{B}$ are isotypic.*

Proof. We need to discuss various cases according to the parameters $I(B)$, $k(B)$ and $l(B)$. Suppose first that $I(B)$ is cyclic of order at most 4. Then by [45, 36], B is isotypic to the principal block of $D \rtimes I(B)$. For $I(B) \cong Z_2$, there are two essentially different actions of $I(B)$ on D, but these can be distinguished by the knowledge of $k(B)$ (see [19]). The same arguments apply for $\widetilde{B}$. Hence, B and $\widetilde{B}$ are isotypic. Now let $I(B) \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$. Then by [35], B is isotypic to the principal block of $D \rtimes I(B)$ or to a non-principal block of $D \rtimes Q_8$ where the kernel of the action of Q_8 on D has order 2. Fortunately, these two types can also be distinguished with [19] (see also [17]).

Suppose next that $I(B) \in \{Z_8, Q_8\}$. Then $I(B)$ acts regularly on $D \setminus \{1\}$. It follows that $k(B) - l(B) = 1$. By [19], one has $k(B) \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ (where the first case would contradict Alperin's Weight Conjecture). In all three cases Q_u ($u \in \mathcal{R}$) is essentially uniquely determined as $(1, 2, 2)^{\mathrm{T}}$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ or $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ respectively. Thus, [16, Theorem 2] implies the claim.

Now let $I(B) \cong SD_{16}$. Here by [49] we have $k(B) = 9$ and $l(B) = 7$. Moreover, $I(B)$ acts transitively on $D \setminus \{1\}$, i. e. $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$. We show first that the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ are p-rational. Suppose the contrary. We follow the proof of [19, Proposition (2E)]. Since the decomposition numbers of a block with cyclic defect group are 0 or 1, we obtain the exact Cartan matrix (not up to basic sets) of b_u for $u \in \mathcal{R}$ as

$$C_u = 3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(the exactness is important here). The two columns of Q_u form a pair of complex conjugate vectors. So we only need to determine the first column q_1. There are integral vectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^9$ such that $q_u = \alpha + \beta\zeta$ where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$. An easy calculations gives the following scalar products $(\alpha, \alpha) = 5$, $(\beta, \beta) = 2$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. This means that some entries of q must vanish. However, this contradicts a theorem by Brauer (see [41, Proposition 1.37]). Therefore, we have shown that any block with elementary abelian defect group of order 9 and inertial quotient SD_{16} has only p-rational irreducible characters. For the determination of Q_u we observe first that all entries of Q_u are 0 or ± 1. We conclude further that

$$Q_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.1}$$

up to basic sets and permutation and signs of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Therefore, Q_u is essentially unique and the claim follows.

Finally, it remains to handle the case $I(B) \cong D_8$. Here $\mathcal{R} = \{u, v\}$ where u (resp. v) is conjugate to u^{-1} (resp. v^{-1}) under $I(B)$. Moreover, there are two subcases $(k(B), l(B)) \in \{(9,5),(6,2)\}$ (see [19]). Suppose first that $k(B) = 9$ and $l(B) = 5$. Then the same argument as above shows that all characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ are p-rational. Also, we may assume that Q_u is given as in (3.1). Now consider the first row r of Q_v. Certainly, we may choose the basic set such that $r \in \{(1,0),(1,1)\}$. In case $r = (1,0)$ we apply the basic set transformation $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This transformation does not change C_v $(= C_u)$ and afterwards $r = (1,1)$. Since u is conjugate to u^{-1} under $I(B)$, one has $D = \langle u, v \rangle$. There is an $I(B)$-stable generalized character λ of D given by $\lambda(1) = 0$, $\lambda(u) = 3$ and $\lambda(v) = 6$. This shows $3M_u + 6M_v \in \mathbb{Z}^{9\times 9}$ and thus $9M_u \equiv 9M_v \pmod 3$. An easy calculation shows that

$$9M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 2J & J & J \\ J & 2J & -J \\ J & -J & 2J \end{pmatrix}$$

where J is the 3×3 matrix whose entries are all 1. Now consider the first row m of M_v. Its first entry is certainly 2. The second and third entries are -1 or 2. The other entries are 1 or -2. Altogether, m contains three entries ± 2 and six entries ± 1. Moreover, by the orthogonality relations $M_u M_v = 0$. Since we can still permute the characters 4 to 6 and 7 to 9 without changing M_u, we may assume that $m = (2,-1,-1,-2,1,1,-2,1,1)$. By considering other rows of M_v it follows easily that

$$Q_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & 1 & . \\ 1 & . & -1 & -1 & . & 1 & -1 & . & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Now let $k(B) = 6$ and $l(B) = 2$. It is easy to see that there is no integral matrix Q_u such that $Q_u^{\mathrm{T}} Q_u = C_u$ with C_u as above. Therefore B contains pairs of p-conjugate characters. Since u and u^{-1} are $I(B)$-conjugate, we conclude that the columns of Q_u are complex conjugate to each other. The same must hold for Q_v. Therefore, it suffices to determine the first column of Q_u and of Q_v. Similarly as above, one can express the first column of Q_u as $q_u = \alpha + \beta\zeta$ where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Then $(\alpha,\alpha) = 5$, $(\beta,\beta) = 2$ and $(\alpha,\beta) = 1$. This gives the following solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that the first two characters are p-conjugate. For the first column of Q_v we have similar vectors α' and β'. By Brauer's permutation lemma (see [11, Lemma IV.6.10]), B has exactly two pairs of p-conjugate vectors. Thus, the first two entries of β' must vanish. The orthogonality relations imply $(\alpha,\alpha') = (\alpha,\beta') = (\beta,\alpha') = (\beta,\beta') = 0$. Since we can still permute the characters 3 to 6, we get just two solutions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & . & -1 & -1 \\ . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & . & 1 & -1 \\ . & . & -1 & 1 & . & . \end{pmatrix}.$$

A calculations shows that only in the first case we have $9M_u \equiv 9M_v \pmod 3$. Hence, Q_v is essentially unique and the proof is complete. □

3.2 The case $p = 5$

By Step 1 we have

$$(k(B), l(B)) \in \{(16,12),(20,16),(16,14),(20,14),(14,6)\}.$$

Now we determine $I(B)$. If $k(B) = 16$ and $l(B) = 12$ it turns out that in all cases the multiplicity of 5 as an elementary divisor of C_1 is 2. Hence, $|\mathcal{R}| \leq 2$. This gives the possibilities $I(B) \cong Z_4 \times S_3$ or $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_4 \cong$ `SmallGroup`$(96,67)$. In the second case the Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is trivial and Alperin's Weight Conjecture (which is known to be true here) would imply $l(B) = k(I(B)) = 16$. Hence, $I(B) \cong Z_4 \times S_3$ and $D \rtimes I(B) \cong$ `SmallGroup`$(600,151)$ (thus the action is essentially unique).

Now let $k(B) = 20$ and $l(B) = 16$. Then the multiplicity of 5 as an elementary divisor of C_1 is 3 in all cases. Thus, $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$. This gives $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_4 \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(96,67)$. Here $D \rtimes I(B)$ is too large to have a small group id. However, we can describe the action as $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(25,19)$.

Next, let $k(B) = 16$ and $l(B) = 14$. Here 5 occurs just once as an elementary divisor of C_1. Therefore, $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$. It follows that $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_2 \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(48,33)$ or $I(B) \cong Z_{24} \rtimes Z_2 \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(48,5)$. In the second case the Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is trivial and Alperin's Weight Conjecture would imply $l(B) = k(I(B)) = 18$. Therefore, $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_2$ and $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(1200,947)$.

Let $k(B) = 20$ and $l(B) = 14$. Then 5 occurs with multiplicity 4 as an elementary divisor of C_1. This gives $|\mathcal{R}| \leq 2$ and then $I(B) \cong Z_4 \wr Z_2$. The action is given by $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(800,1191)$. Finally, let $k(B) = 14$ and $l(B) = 6$. Here 5 occurs with multiplicity 2 as an elementary divisor of C_1. It follows that $I(B) \cong D_{12} \cong S_3 \times Z_2$ and $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(300,25)$. We summarize the results in Table 1 (we use BM for the Baby Monster, because B is already reserved for the block).

G	no. of block(s)	$k(B)$	$l(B)$	$I(B)$	$D \rtimes I(B)$
$2.Suz$	19	16	12	$Z_4 \times S_3$	$\texttt{SmallGroup}(600,151)$
$Suz.2$	2^+				
$3.Suz$	18, 19				
$3.Suz.2$	24*				
$6.Suz$	59, 60				
$6.Suz.2$	53*				
Co_1	3				
$2.J_2.2$	9				
$2.Fi_{22}$	39	20	16	$\mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_4$	$\texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(25,19)$
$Fi_{22}.2$	2^+				
$3.Fi_{22}$	39, 40				
$3.Fi_{22}.2$	59*				
$6.Fi_{22}$	107, 108				
$6.Fi_{22}.2$	101*				
Fi_{23}	2				
Fi'_{24}	3				
$Fi'_{24}.2$	2^+, 3, 4^+, 5^+				
$3.Fi'_{24}$	45, 46				
$3.Fi'_{24}.2$	69*				
BM	2, 8				
M	4				
Fi'_{24}	2	16	14	$\mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_2$	$\texttt{SmallGroup}(1200,947)$
$2.Fi_{22}.2$	59				
$3.Fi'_{24}$	47, 48	20	14	$Z_4 \wr Z_2$	$\texttt{SmallGroup}(800,1191)$
$3.Fi'_{24}.2$	70*				
$2.J_2$	6	14	6	D_{12}	$\texttt{SmallGroup}(300,25)$
$2.Suz.2$	26				

Table 1: faithful non-principal 5-blocks with non-cyclic abelian defect groups

If we have two blocks B and $\widetilde{B}$ such that $k(B) = k(\widetilde{B})$ and $l(B) = l(\widetilde{B})$, then by Step 6 above, we can show that the ordinary decomposition matrices of B and $\widetilde{B}$ coincide up to basic sets. Therefore, we only need to handle one example case for each pair $(k(B), l(B))$. The blocks marked with an asterisk in Table 1 are Morita equivalent to corresponding blocks of G' via the Fong-Reynolds Theorem. Hence, in this case the decomposition matrices are automatically equal. For example, the 24-th block of $3.Suz.2$ covers the 18-th and 19-th block of $3.Suz$. In particular, these two blocks of $3.Suz$ are also Morita equivalent. A similar argument applies to the blocks marked with a plus sign. Here, the characters of $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ restrict to irreducible characters of G', and therefore B is isomorphic to a block of G'. For example, the second block of $Suz.2$ is isomorphic to the principal block of Suz (and of $Suz.2$).

In case $G = 2.J_2$ there exists a splendid derived equivalence between B and b as it was shown in [15]. This implies the existence of an isotypy. So we do not need to handle this case.

If $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_4$ or $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \rtimes Z_2$, then the Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is trivial. Hence, b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$. It follows that the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(b)$ are p-rational. Moreover, one has $\mathcal{R} = \{u\}$ and the matrix Q_u can easily be computed as the integral orthogonal space of Q_1. Then the claim follows.

Let $G = Co_1$. Here $k(B) = 16$, $l(B) = 12$ and $I(B) \cong Z_4 \times S_3$. Moreover, $I(B)$ has Schur multiplier Z_2, so that there are two possible Morita equivalence classes for b. In the twisted case we would have $l(b) = 6$. Hence, b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$. In particular, the irreducible characters of b are p-rational.

The action of $I(B)$ gives $\mathcal{R} = \{u, v\}$ with $l(b_u) = l(b_v) = 2$. From the character table of G we get

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
. & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
1 & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & . & 1 & 1 \\
. & -1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$25M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
19 & 2 & 1 & -2 & -2 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & -2 & -2 & -3 & 1 & 6 & -4 \\
2 & 21 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & -2 & -4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\
1 & -2 & 19 & 2 & -3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -6 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -1 & 2 & 16 & 6 & -3 & 4 & -3 & -1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & -6 & 2 & 2 & -3 \\
-2 & -1 & -3 & 6 & 16 & 2 & -6 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4 & -3 & 2 & -3 \\
1 & -2 & 4 & -3 & 2 & 19 & -2 & -6 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -1 & -1 \\
-3 & 1 & 3 & 4 & -6 & -2 & 16 & -2 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 6 & 3 & 3 & -2 \\
1 & -2 & 4 & -3 & 2 & -6 & -2 & 19 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -1 & -1 \\
2 & -4 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & -2 & 21 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\
4 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 19 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 1 & -4 & 6 \\
-2 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 21 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
-2 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 & 4 & -2 & -4 & 21 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
-3 & 1 & -2 & -6 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 16 & -2 & 3 & -2 \\
1 & -2 & -6 & 2 & -3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 19 & -1 & -1 \\
6 & -2 & -1 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 3 & -1 & -2 & -4 & 2 & 2 & 3 & -1 & 19 & 4 \\
-4 & -2 & -1 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -2 & 6 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -1 & 4 & 19
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $C_u = 5\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, one has $25m^u_{\chi\chi} \geq 2$ and similarly $25m^v_{\chi\chi} \geq 2$. Hence, $25m^u_{\chi\chi} \leq 7$. Now Plesken's algorithm yields essentially two possibilities for Q_u:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & . & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 2 & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

We have $25m^u_{\chi\chi} \in \{2, 3, 7\}$. By the $*$-construction, $25M_u \equiv 25M_v \pmod 5$. Suppose that the second possibility above occurs for Q_u. Then there are distinct characters $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ such that $49m^u_{\chi\psi} = \pm 7$ and $49m^v_{\chi\psi} = \pm 2$.

However, this gives the contradiction $m^1_{\chi\psi} + m^u_{\chi\psi} + m^v_{\chi\psi} \neq 0$. It follows that only the first matrix above can occur for Q_u and for Q_v. It remains to verify the uniqueness of these matrices (if Q_1 is given as above). We may assume that the first row of Q_u is $(1, 0)$ (so that $25m^u_{1,1} = 3$). Now $\{25m^u_{1,3}, 25m^v_{1,3}\} = \{-3, 2\}$. By interchanging u and v if necessary, we may assume that $25m^u_{1,3} = -3$. Then the third row of Q_u is $(-1, 0)$. Continuing these arguments gives

$$Q_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 \\ -1 & . \\ -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ . & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ . & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ -1 & . \\ -1 & . \\ . & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_v = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & . \\ 1 & 1 \\ . & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & . \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & . \\ 1 & 1 \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 \\ . & 1 \\ 1 & . \\ . & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This settles the case $I(B) \cong Z_4 \times S_3$.

Now let B be the 47-th 5-block of $G = 3.Fi'_{24}$. Then $k(B) = 20$, $l(B) = 14$ and $I(B) \cong Z_4 \wr Z_2$. The Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is Z_2. In the twisted case one has $l(b) = 5$ which is not true. Therefore, b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$. In particular, the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(b)$ are p-rational. We have $\mathcal{R} = \{u, v\}$ such that $l(b_u) = 4$ and $l(b_v) = 2$. From the character table of G we obtain

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & -1 & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & 1 & . & 1 & . \\
1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & . & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & -1 & -1 & . & 1 & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & 1 & 1 & . & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & . & . & 1 & . & . & 1 \\
. & 1 & . & . & -1 & -1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & 1 & . & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$
25M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
18 & 2 & 4 & -4 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -3 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 3 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\
2 & 18 & -4 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 3 & -2 & -1 & 1 & -3 & -4 & -3 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & -4 & 17 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & -3 & -2 & -4 & 1 & 3 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 4 & -3 \\
-4 & 4 & 3 & 17 & -3 & 3 & 4 & -2 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -3 & -2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & -2 \\
-4 & 4 & 3 & -3 & 17 & -2 & -1 & 3 & -3 & -1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 \\
-1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & -2 & 17 & -4 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -3 & 4 & -3 & -1 & 4 & -4 & -1 & -3 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & -1 & -4 & 18 & 1 & 4 & -2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & -3 & -2 & -3 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -3 & -2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 17 & 3 & -4 & -4 & 3 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 4 & -1 & 1 & 4 & -3 \\
1 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 17 & -1 & 4 & -3 & 3 & 1 & -2 & -4 & 1 & 4 & 1 & -2 \\
2 & 3 & -4 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -4 & -1 & 18 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & -3 & 3 & 2 & -4 \\
-3 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 4 & 1 & 3 & -4 & 4 & 3 & 18 & -1 & -4 & -3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 3 & -3 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -3 & 4 & -1 & 17 & -2 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & -4 & 3 \\
-1 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & -3 & 1 & -3 & 3 & 1 & -4 & -2 & 17 & 4 & -3 & 4 & 4 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -3 & 1 & 4 & 18 & 4 & -2 & -2 & -3 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & -4 & -3 & 3 & 3 & -3 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -3 & 4 & 17 & -1 & 4 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 1 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 4 & -4 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & -2 & -1 & 18 & 3 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\
3 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & -3 & -1 & 1 & -3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & -2 & 4 & 3 & 18 & 2 & -2 & 4 \\
-3 & -2 & 1 & 4 & -1 & -4 & -2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & -2 & 4 & 1 & -3 & 1 & -3 & 2 & 18 & 2 & 1 \\
3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -4 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 3 & -2 & 2 & 18 & 4 \\
-1 & 1 & -3 & -2 & -2 & -3 & 1 & -3 & -2 & -4 & 1 & 3 & -3 & 4 & -3 & -1 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 17
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

By Plesken's algorithm, there is essentially only one choice for Q_v:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.
$$

There exists an $I(B)$-stable generalized character λ of D such that $\lambda(1) = 5$, $\lambda(u) = 0$ and $\lambda(v) = -5$. Consequently, $5M_1 - 5M_v \in \mathbb{Z}^{20\times 20}$ and $25M_1 \equiv 25M_v \pmod 5$. Thus, the first row of $25M_v$ has the form $m = (3, ?, -1, 1, 1, -1, ?, -1, 1, ?, ?, 1, -1, ?, -1, ?, ?, ?, ?, -1)$ where every question mark stands for ± 2 or ± 3 (and ± 2 occurs five times). By the orthogonality relations, m is orthogonal to all rows of M_1. This determines m uniquely as $m = (3, 2, -1, 1, 1, -1, -3, -1, 1, -3, 2, 1, -1, 3, -1, 3, -2, 2, -2, -1)$. It follows that

$$
Q_v = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & . & . & . & -1 \\
. & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & 1 & -1 & . & -1 & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.
$$

Now we compute $M_u = 1_{20} - M_1 - M_v$ and this gives

$$
Q_u = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & . & . & 1 \\
. & . & -1 & . & . & 1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & -1 & . & 1 & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & -1 & . & 1 \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & . & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.
$$

Finally, let B be the 26-th 5-block of $G = 2.Suz.2$. Then $k(B) = 14$, $l(B) = 6$ and $I(B) \cong D_{12}$. Here we have to be more careful, since there are four pairs of p-conjugate characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$. Since $D \in \mathrm{Syl}_5(G)$, there are no difficulties in constructing $\mathrm{N}_G(D)$ and its character table. It follows that also b has four pairs of p-conjugate characters. The Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is Z_2. In the twisted case we would get $l(B) = 3$. Hence, b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$. From the character table we obtain

$$
Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & . & 1 & . \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & . & 1 & . \\
. & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . \\
. & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . \\
. & -1 & . & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
. & -1 & . & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$25M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 4 & 2 & -8 & 4 & -2 & 3 & 3 & -3 & -3 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 \\ 4 & 17 & -4 & -4 & -8 & 4 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 13 & -2 & -4 & -8 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -8 & -4 & -2 & 13 & -4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & 3 & 3 & -3 & -3 \\ 4 & -8 & -4 & -4 & 17 & 4 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 & -8 & 2 & 4 & 13 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 8 & 8 & -3 & -3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 8 & 8 & -3 & -3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 1 & 2 & -3 & -3 & 8 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -3 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 1 & 2 & -3 & -3 & 8 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 8 & 8 & -3 & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 8 & 8 & -3 & -3 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & -3 & -3 & 8 & 8 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & -3 & -3 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this order the first six characters are p-rational. One can choose $\mathcal{R} = \{u, u^2, v, v^2\}$ such that u and u^{-1} are conjugate under $I(B)$. Moreover, $l(b_u) = l(b_v) = 2$. It follows that Q_u is algebraically conjugate to Q_{u^2}. Since the p-conjugate characters come in pairs, the entries of Q_u must be real. Similarly for Q_v. Hence, it suffices to determine Q_u and Q_v. Let $\rho := e^{2\pi i/5} + e^{-2\pi i/5} = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$. Then there are matrices $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{14\times 2}$ such that $Q_u = R_1 + R_2\rho$. Since $\rho^2 = 1 - \rho$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_u = Q_u^{\mathrm{T}} Q_u &= R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_1 + R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 + (R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 + R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_1 - R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2)\rho = R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_1 + R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2, \\ R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 &= R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 + R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $Q_{u^2} = R_1 + R_2(-1-\rho) = (R_1 - R_2) - R_2\rho$. It follows that

$$0 = Q_u^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{u^2} = R_1^{\mathrm{T}}(R_1 - R_2) - R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2.$$

Combining these formulas gives

$$R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_1 = 3\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_2^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 = 2\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_1^{\mathrm{T}} R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since B has six p-rational characters, the matrix R_2 has at most eight non-zero rows. Furthermore, the rows come in pairs of the form $(r, -r)$. Hence, we can apply Plesken's algorithm with the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This gives the following solution for R_2:

$$\begin{pmatrix} . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

If r is the 7-th row of R_1, then $r - (1,0)$ is the 8-th row of R_1 and so on. This gives still several possibilities for R_1. By the shape of M_1 we see that

$$25m^1_{\chi\chi} = 17 \iff 25m^u_{\chi\chi} = 25m^{u^2}_{\chi\chi} = 25m^v_{\chi\chi} = 25m^{v^2}_{\chi\chi} = 2.$$

Moreover, there is an $I(B)$-stable generalized character λ of D such that $\lambda(1) = 15$, $\lambda(u) = \lambda(u^2) = 5$ and $\lambda(v) = \lambda(v^2) = 0$. This yields $2 \cdot 25M_1 \equiv M_u + M_{u^2} \pmod 5$. In particular, if $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ is p-rational, we have $25m^1_{\chi\chi} \equiv 25m^u_{\chi\chi} \equiv 25m^{u^2}_{\chi\chi} \pmod 5$ and similarly for v. We conclude that

$$25m^1_{\chi\chi} = 13 \iff 25m^u_{\chi\chi} = 25m^{u^2}_{\chi\chi} = 25m^v_{\chi\chi} = 25m^{v^2}_{\chi\chi} = 3.$$

Therefore, R_1 has two rows of the form $\pm(1,1)$ corresponding to p-rational characters. The other four rows corresponding to p-rational rows have the form $\pm(1,0)$ or $\pm(0,1)$. By symmetry reasons, the considerations for Q_u also apply for Q_v. After interchanging u and v if necessary, we obtain

$$Q_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 \\ -1 & . \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ . & 1 \\ & ? \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 \\ . & -1 \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & . \\ & ? \end{pmatrix}.$$

If R_1' is the matrix consisting of the last eight rows of R_1, then $(R_1')^{\mathrm{T}} R_1' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Hence, the last eight rows of Q_u are of the form $\pm(1+\rho,1)$, $\pm(-\rho,1)$, $\pm(1,1+\rho)$, $\pm(1,-\rho)$, $\pm(1+\rho,1+\rho)$, $\pm(-\rho,-\rho)$ where the last two possibilities occur twice each. The orthogonality $Q_1^{\mathrm{T}} Q_u = 0$ reveals the positions of these rows and their signs. Observe here that we are still able to permute each pair of p-conjugate characters without disturbing Q_1. This determines Q_u. After interchanging v and v^2 if necessary, we also obtain Q_v as follows:

$$Q_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 \\ -1 & . \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ . & 1 \\ 1+\rho & 1+\rho \\ -\rho & -\rho \\ 1 & 1+\rho \\ 1 & -\rho \\ -1-\rho & -1-\rho \\ \rho & \rho \\ -1-\rho & -1 \\ \rho & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 \\ . & -1 \\ . & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & . \\ -1 & -1-\rho \\ -1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho \\ -1-\rho & -1-\rho \\ 1+\rho & 1 \\ -\rho & 1 \\ -\rho & -\rho \\ 1+\rho & 1+\rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.3 The case $p = 7$

Here we always have $k(B) = 27$ and $l(B) \in \{24, 21\}$. Suppose first that $l(B) = 24$. Then 7 occurs with multiplicity 2 as an elementary divisor of C_1. Hence, $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$ and $I(B) \cong 2.S_4^- \times Z_3 \cong \texttt{SmallGroup}(144, 121)$ where $2.S_4^-$ denotes the double cover of S_4 which is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(2,3)$. The action is given by $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(49, 29)$. Now assume $l(B) = 21$. Then 7 occurs with multiplicity 4 as an elementary divisor of C_1. Therefore, $|\mathcal{R}| \leq 2$ and $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \times Z_3$. Here, $D \rtimes I(B) \cong \texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(49, 27)$. The groups appearing on Noeske's list all have trivial outer automorphism group. Thus, we do not extend the list here.

G	no. of block	$k(B)$	$l(B)$	$I(B)$	$D \rtimes I(B)$
BM	2	27	24	$2.S_4^- \times Z_3$	$\texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(49, 29)$
$2.BM$	73				
M	2				
$2.Co_1$	46	27	21	$\mathrm{SL}(2,3) \times Z_3$	$\texttt{PrimitiveGroup}(49, 27)$
BM	4				

Table 2: faithful non-principal 7-blocks with non-cyclic abelian defect groups

In case $I(B) \cong 2.S_4^- \times Z_3$ we have $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$ and the Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is trivial. Hence, there is not much to do in order to establish the isotypy. For the other inertial quotient we will only handle one example case.

Let B be the fourth 7-block of $G = BM$. Here, $k(B) = 27$, $l(B) = 21$ and $I(B) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \times Z_3$. The Schur multiplier of $I(B)$ is Z_3. If the 2-cocycle of b is non-trivial, one gets $l(B) = 5$ which is not the case. Therefore, b is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of $D \rtimes I(B)$, and the irreducible characters of b are all p-rational.

The action of $I(B)$ gives $\mathcal{R} = \{u, v\}$ with $l(b_u) = l(b_v) = 3$. Moreover,

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & . & . & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & . & . \\
1 & -1 & . & -1 & . & . & . & 1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & -1 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & 1 & . & 1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & -1 & . & . & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & . & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & . & . & -1 & . & -1 & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 1 \\
2 & -1 & 1 & -2 & . & 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & . & -1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$49M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
39 & 3 & -2 & 6 & 2 & -6 & -1 & -6 & -3 & 4 & -2 & 5 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -4 & -2 & -8 & -6 & -1 & 1 & 5 & 3 & -1 & 4 \\
3 & 39 & 2 & -6 & -2 & -1 & -6 & 6 & 3 & -4 & 2 & -5 & 2 & -2 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -8 & 2 & 4 & -6 & 3 \\
-2 & 2 & 43 & 4 & -1 & -4 & 4 & -4 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -6 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 & 1 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\
6 & -6 & 4 & 37 & -4 & 5 & -5 & 5 & -1 & -1 & -3 & -3 & 4 & -4 & 3 & -6 & 6 & 8 & 4 & 2 & -2 & -5 & -2 & -3 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\
2 & -2 & -1 & -4 & 36 & 4 & -4 & -3 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & -8 & 1 & 8 & -2 & 2 & 5 & -1 & 3 & -3 & 3 & -3 & -8 & 5 & 3 & -5 \\
-6 & -1 & -4 & 5 & 4 & 37 & 5 & 2 & -6 & -6 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 1 & -1 & -4 & -2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 3 & -1 & -2 & 8 \\
-1 & -6 & 4 & -5 & -4 & 5 & 37 & -2 & 6 & 6 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & 3 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 4 & -5 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 4 & 8 & -5 & -1 \\
-6 & 6 & -4 & 5 & -3 & 2 & -2 & 37 & 1 & 8 & -4 & 3 & -4 & 4 & -3 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -4 & -2 & -5 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 5 & -6 \\
-3 & 3 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -6 & 6 & 1 & 39 & -3 & -2 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & -4 & 4 & 3 & -2 & -1 & -6 & -8 & 1 & -2 & -4 & 6 & 4 \\
4 & -4 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -6 & 6 & 8 & -3 & 39 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 3 & -3 & 3 & -2 & 6 & 1 & -1 & -6 & -2 & -4 & -1 & 4 \\
-2 & 2 & 1 & -3 & 6 & 3 & -3 & -4 & -2 & 5 & 36 & -6 & 8 & -1 & -8 & -5 & 5 & 2 & 1 & -3 & -4 & -3 & 3 & 8 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\
5 & -5 & 1 & -3 & 6 & 3 & -3 & 3 & 5 & -2 & -6 & 36 & 8 & -1 & -8 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 4 & -4 & 8 & 2 & -3 & -2 \\
-2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & -8 & 3 & -3 & -4 & 5 & 5 & 8 & 8 & 36 & -1 & 6 & 2 & -2 & -5 & 1 & -3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -6 & 2 & -3 & -2 \\
2 & -2 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 4 & -4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 43 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 6 & -4 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -1 & -2 & -4 & 2 \\
2 & 5 & -1 & 3 & 8 & 4 & 3 & -3 & 2 & 2 & -8 & -8 & 6 & 1 & 36 & -2 & -5 & -2 & -1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 6 & -2 & 3 & -5 \\
3 & 4 & 2 & -6 & -2 & 6 & 1 & -1 & -4 & 3 & -5 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & 39 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -6 & 6 & -5 & -3 & 8 & -4 \\
4 & 3 & -2 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 4 & -3 & 5 & -2 & -2 & 2 & -5 & 3 & 39 & -4 & -2 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 1 & -2 & -4 & -1 & -3 \\
-4 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 5 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -2 & 4 & -4 & 39 & 2 & -6 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 2 & -3 & -6 & 3 \\
-2 & 2 & -6 & 4 & -1 & -4 & 4 & -4 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 43 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 & 1 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\
-8 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & -2 & -5 & -2 & -1 & 6 & -3 & 4 & -3 & -4 & 3 & 1 & 6 & -6 & 4 & 37 & -2 & -5 & 5 & 4 & 1 & -5 & 6 \\
-6 & -1 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -5 & -2 & -5 & -6 & 1 & -4 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & -1 & 8 & 6 & -4 & -2 & 37 & -2 & -5 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 4 & -5 & 3 & -2 & 2 & 5 & -8 & -1 & -3 & 4 & 4 & -4 & 3 & -6 & 6 & 1 & 4 & -5 & -2 & 37 & 5 & -3 & -6 & 2 & 6 \\
1 & -8 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -5 & -2 & 2 & 1 & -6 & 3 & -4 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 6 & -4 & 5 & -5 & 5 & 37 & 3 & 6 & -2 & 1 \\
5 & 2 & 1 & -3 & -8 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 & -2 & 8 & 8 & -6 & -1 & 6 & -5 & -2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & -3 & 3 & 36 & -5 & 4 & -2 \\
3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 & 8 & 6 & -4 & -4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -4 & -3 & 2 & 1 & 6 & -6 & 6 & -5 & 39 & 1 & 3 \\
-1 & -6 & 4 & 2 & 3 & -2 & -5 & 5 & 6 & -1 & 4 & -3 & -3 & -4 & 3 & 8 & -1 & -6 & 4 & -5 & 5 & 2 & -2 & 4 & 1 & 37 & 6 \\
4 & 3 & -2 & -1 & -5 & 8 & -1 & -6 & 4 & 4 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & -5 & -4 & -3 & 3 & -2 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 6 & 39
\end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $49m^1_{\chi\chi} \geq 36$. Hence, $49m^u_{\chi\chi} \leq 11$. Plesken's algorithm finds six possibilities for Q_u:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&1&2\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\2&1&1\\2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&2&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&1&2\\1&1&.\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
2&1&1\\1&2&1\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&1&.\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\1&.&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\.&1&1\\1&.&.\\.&1&.\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\.&.&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1\\1&1&1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

We will show that only the first matrix occurs for Q_u and Q_v. By the $*$-construction, we have $49M_u \equiv 49M_v \pmod 7$ and similarly $3 \cdot 49M_1 \equiv 49M_u \pmod 7$. This shows that only the two first possibilities can occur. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 37 \Longleftrightarrow 49m^u_{\chi\chi} = 6 \Longleftrightarrow 49m^v_{\chi\chi} = 6,\\
&49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 39 \Longleftrightarrow 49m^u_{\chi\chi} = 5 \Longleftrightarrow 49m^v_{\chi\chi} = 5,\\
&49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 43 \Longrightarrow 49m^u_{\chi\chi} = 3,\\
&49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 36 \Longleftrightarrow \{49m^u_{\chi\chi}, 49m^v_{\chi\chi}\} = \{3, 10\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the submatrix of $49M_1$ corresponding to the characters $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ such that $49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 36$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
36&6&6&-8&8&-8\\
6&36&-6&8&-8&8\\
6&-6&36&8&-8&8\\
-8&8&8&36&6&-6\\
8&-8&-8&6&36&6\\
-8&8&8&-6&6&36
\end{pmatrix}$$

By way of contradiction, suppose that the second choice above occurs for M_u. Then there are distinct characters $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)$ such that $49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 49m^1_{\chi\chi} = 36$, $49m^u_{\chi\psi} = \pm 10$ and $49m^v_{\chi\psi} = \pm 3$. Hence, $49m^u_{\chi\psi} + 49m^v_{\chi\psi} = \pm 13$. This gives the contradiction $m^1_{\chi\psi} + m^u_{\chi\psi} + m^v_{\chi\psi} \neq 0$. Therefore, the first matrix above occurs for Q_u and Q_v.

We still need to work in order to show the uniqueness if Q_1 is given as above. By permuting the elements of a basic set for b_u, we may assume that the first row of Q_u is $(1, 0, 0)$ (here $49m^1_{1,1} = 39$). Since $49m^1_{2,2} = 39$ and $49m^1_{1,2} = 3$, we have $\{49m^u_{1,2}, 49m^v_{1,2}\} = \{-5, 2\}$. Thus, after interchanging u and v is necessary, we may assume that the second row of Q_u is $(-1, 0, 0)$. Similarly, the tenth row of Q_u can be chosen to be $(0, 1, 0)$. This

already determines all the remaining entries of Q_u. We get:

$$Q_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . & . \\ -1 & . & . \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & . \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & . \\ -1 & -1 & . \\ 1 & . & 1 \\ 1 & . & . \\ . & 1 & . \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ . & -1 & . \\ . & 1 & . \\ . & . & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ . & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & . & 1 \\ . & -1 & -1 \\ . & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ . & . & -1 \\ -1 & . & -1 \\ . & . & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . & . \\ . & -1 & . \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & . \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & . & 1 \\ . & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & . \\ . & . & 1 \\ . & . & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & . & . \\ . & 1 & . \\ . & . & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ . & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & . & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & . \\ 1 & . & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & . & . \\ . & -1 & -1 \\ . & 1 & . \end{pmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Concluding remarks

The implementation of Plesken's algorithm in GAP is given by the function `OrthogonalEmbeddings`. There has been a serious bug in this function which was eventually fixed in version 4.7.6 by Thomas Breuer.

Our method does not only establish isotypies between B and its Brauer correspondent b, but also between different blocks of sporadic groups with the same inertial quotient $I(B)$.

For some of the smaller sporadic groups one can avoid Plesken's algorithm with the following alternative approach: For $u \in \mathcal{R}$ determine the possible class fusions from $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$ to G. Then compute the ordinary decomposition matrix up to basic sets for every Brauer correspondent of B in $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$ (see Step 2). By restricting the irreducible characters of B to $\mathrm{C}_G(u)$, one obtains Q_u up to basic sets. In turns out that Q_u is usually not affected by the choice of the class fusion. However, this procedure has to be done for every group individually.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the German Research Foundation and the Daimler and Benz Foundation. The author thanks Jianbei An, Jürgen Müller and Raphaël Rouquier for answering some questions.

References

[1] J. An and H. Dietrich, *The AWC-goodness and essential rank of sporadic simple groups*, J. Algebra **356** (2012), 325–354.

[2] J. An and R. A. Wilson, *The Alperin weight conjecture and Uno's conjecture for the Monster M, p odd*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **13** (2010), 320–356.

[3] M. Broué, *Isométries de caractères et équivalences de Morita ou dérivées*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **71** (1990), 45–63.

[4] M. Broué, *Equivalences of blocks of group algebras*, in: Finite-dimensional algebras and related topics (Ottawa, ON, 1992), 1–26, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., Vol. 424, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[5] M. Broué and L. Puig, *Characters and local structure in G-algebras*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 306–317.

[6] M. Broué and L. Puig, *A Frobenius theorem for blocks*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 117–128.

[7] M. Cabanes and C. Picaronny, *Types of blocks with dihedral or quaternion defect groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **39** (1992), 141–161. Revised version: `http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~cabanes/type99.pdf`.

[8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.

[9] D. A. Craven and R. Rouquier, *Perverse equivalences and Broué's conjecture*, Adv. Math. **248** (2013), 1–58.

[10] C. W. Eaton, *Morita equivalence classes of 2-blocks of defect three*, arXiv:1409.5701v2.

[11] W. Feit, *The representation theory of finite groups*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 25, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[12] P. Fong and M. E. Harris, *On perfect isometries and isotypies in finite groups*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), 139–191.

[13] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.8*; 2015, (`http://www.gap-system.org`).

[14] M. E. Harris and M. Linckelmann, *Splendid derived equivalences for blocks of finite p-solvable groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), 85–96.

[15] M. Holloway, *Broué's conjecture for the Hall-Janko group and its double cover*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), 109–130.

[16] H. Horimoto and A. Watanabe, *On a perfect isometry between principal p-blocks of finite groups with cyclic p-hyperfocal subgroups*, preprint.

[17] R. Kessar, *On blocks stably equivalent to a quantum complete intersection of dimension 9 in characteristic 3 and a case of the Abelian defect group conjecture*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **85** (2012), 491–510.

[18] R. Kessar, S. Koshitani and M. Linckelmann, *Conjectures of Alperin and Broué for* 2*-blocks with elementary abelian defect groups of order* 8, J. Reine Angew. Math. **671** (2012), 85–130.

[19] M. Kiyota, *On* 3*-blocks with an elementary abelian defect group of order* 9, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **31** (1984), 33–58.

[20] S. Koshitani and N. Kunugi, *Broué's conjecture holds for principal 3-blocks with elementary abelian defect group of order 9*, J. Algebra **248** (2002), 575–604.

[21] S. Koshitani, N. Kunugi and K. Waki, *Broué's conjecture for non-principal 3-blocks of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **173** (2002), 177–211.

[22] S. Koshitani, N. Kunugi and K. Waki, *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture for the Held group and the sporadic Suzuki group*, J. Algebra **279** (2004), 638–666.

[23] S. Koshitani, N. Kunugi and K. Waki, *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture holds for the Janko simple group J_4*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 1438–1456.

[24] S. Koshitani and J. Müller, *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture holds for the Harada-Norton sporadic simple group HN*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 394–429.

[25] S. Koshitani, J. Müller and F. Noeske, *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture holds for the double cover of the Higman-Sims sporadic simple group*, J. Algebra **376** (2013), 152–173.

[26] S. Koshitani, J. Müller and F. Noeske, *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture and 3-decomposition numbers of the sporadic simple Conway group Co_1*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 142–160.

[27] B. Külshammer, *Crossed products and blocks with normal defect groups*, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), 147–168.

[28] B. Külshammer and L. Puig, *Extensions of nilpotent blocks*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 17–71.

[29] M. Linckelmann, *Derived equivalence for cyclic blocks over a P-adic ring*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), 293–304.

[30] M. Linckelmann, *The source algebras of blocks with a Klein four defect group*, J. Algebra **167** (1994), 821–854.

[31] J. Müller and M. Schaps, *The Broué conjecture for the faithful 3-blocks of $4.M_{22}$*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 3588–3602.

[32] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima, *Representations of finite groups*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.

[33] F. Noeske, *ADGC for Sporadic Groups*, `http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Felix.Noeske/tabular.pdf`.

[34] W. Plesken, *Solving $XX^{\mathrm{tr}} = A$ over the integers*, Linear Algebra Appl. **226/228** (1995), 331–344.

[35] L. Puig and Y. Usami, *Perfect isometries for blocks with abelian defect groups and Klein four inertial quotients*, J. Algebra **160** (1993), 192–225.

[36] L. Puig and Y. Usami, *Perfect isometries for blocks with abelian defect groups and cyclic inertial quotients of order* 4, J. Algebra **172** (1995), 205–213.

[37] J. Rickard, *Derived categories and stable equivalence*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **61** (1989), 303–317.

[38] G. R. Robinson, *On the focal defect group of a block, characters of height zero, and lower defect group multiplicities*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 2624–2628.

[39] R. Rouquier, *Isométries parfaites dans les blocs à défaut abélien des groupes symétriques et sporadiques*, J. Algebra **168** (1994), 648–694.

[40] R. Rouquier, *The derived category of blocks with cyclic defect groups*, in: Derived equivalences for group rings, 199–220, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1685, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[41] B. Sambale, *Blocks of finite groups and their invariants*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 2127, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2014.

[42] B. Sambale, *Cartan matrices and Brauer's $k(B)$-Conjecture III*, Manuscripta Math. **146** (2015), 505–518.

[43] B. Sambale, *Cartan matrices and Brauer's $k(B)$-Conjecture IV*, submitted.

[44] M. Schaps, *Database of blocks of noncyclic abelian defect*, `http://u.cs.biu.ac.il/~mschaps/math.html`.

[45] Y. Usami, *On p-blocks with abelian defect groups and inertial index* 2 *or* 3. *I*, J. Algebra **119** (1988), 123–146.

[46] A. Watanabe, *On generalized decomposition numbers and Fong's reductions*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 393–400.

[47] A. Watanabe, *Notes on p-blocks of characters of finite groups*, J. Algebra **136** (1991), 109–116.

[48] A. Watanabe, *On perfect isometries for blocks with abelian defect groups and cyclic hyperfocal subgroups*, Kumamoto J. Math. **18** (2005), 85–92.

[49] A. Watanabe, *Appendix on blocks with elementary abelian defect group of order 9*, in: Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Algebras, and Related Topics (Kyoto, 2008), 9–17, Kyoto University Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto, 2010.